

Further to our telephone conversation on Friday re: a written response being required to the number of objections received to the application from both local residents

And the two Ward Councillors and as a follow up I would also request additional comparative information on the approved and proposed scheme. This comparative information should include:-

- Respective land takes and amount of hardsurfacing involved in the two respective schemes

| | Land Take – from access off Park Spine Rd | Building Footprint |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Original Application | 7390m ² | 806m ² |
| Revised Application | 5235m ² | 950m ² |

The footprint reduction releases an additional area of grass 20m wide by 90m long adjacent to the access of Anchorsholme Lane West – opening up the open aspect of the park.

- The respective footprints of the two pump station buildings
see above
- I would welcome additional landscaping around the compound, including to Anchorsholme Lane West, to soften the rather harsh industrial type appearance
Additional landscaping can be incorporated and we are happy to discuss further. Is this something that could be covered by planning condition?
- The play area adjacent the café now appears smaller?
It has been detailed slightly smaller on the revised application. However, the area is identified for BBC use, and the final design will dictate how much space is required. BBC are designing and installing the play area, including fencing.
- The landscaping behind the new bowling pavilion has disappeared?
This is in response to request from the bowling club to establish an open area where they could practice
- Is the bowling pavilion building now smaller than previously approved?
The bowling club / maintenance building is unchanged from the initial application.

- Why is standard tarmac now being used within the pump station compound and elsewhere within the Park ?
In the initial application, because the permanent land take was large, it was agreed that the hardstanding area should be open to the public, and accordingly was coloured in a finish to match the promenade. In the revised application, the hardstanding area is greatly reduced with standard tarmac used in an effort to delineate it from the public use areas. The main route through the park from Anchorsholme Lane West to the promenade is coloured to reflect the linkage to the promenade.
- The entrance features into the Park seems to have been deleted?
The landscape masterplan includes surface treatment to the entrance at Queens Promenade / Fleetwood road, and at the southern boundary near the tram circle. On the initial application we had indicated a wider surface treatment to the footpath at the Queens promenade entrance which incorrectly covered the pavement area outside the site. This has now been deleted.
- Can you please provide a fencing detail for the proposal.
It is proposed to use a tangorail fence arrangement, similar to that used in many parks (see example below)

